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# Preliminary Notes and Speculations on the Triseriatus Croup of Rattlesnakes in México <br> HOBART M. SMITH 

Abstract: A new subspecies of rattlesnake, Crotalus gloydi lautus, is doscribed from the states of Veracruz and Puebla, México; the type is in the I. S. National Museum, collected near El Limón Totalco, Veracruz. Brief diagnoses are given for the five subgroups of the triseriatus group of Crotalus, based upon scutellation and partly upon cranial characters. One theory of the phylogeny of the members of that gronp is discussed. and a possible means of reconciling the apparently opposing ideas of peripheral specialization and central specialization is suggesterl.

RECENT collections from México of the small rattlesnakes comprising the triseriatus group have revealed the existence of a previously undefined species subgroup and have made possible a clarification of the relationships of the known forms of the entire group. All the forms of the subgroup of which I am aware have been described, save for one, whose deseription follows.

The material which furnishes the basis for these notes has been seeured partly through the efforts of the members of the Department of Fish and Game at the Agricultural and Mcehanical College of Texas; and partly by Dr. E. H. Taylor and myself. I am mueh indebted to Dr. W. B. Davis and Mr. Max MI. Whisenhunt of Texas A. and M. College, and to Doctor Taylor, for permission to study their specimens. To Doetor Taylor I owe a double debt of gratitude for his generous counsel and innumerable other courtesies.
I

Crotalus gloydi lautus subsp. nov:
Holotype. U. S. Nat. Mus. No. 110598, colleeted by Dyfrig McH. Forbes at the lava beds about one kilometer cast of El Limón Totalco, Veracruz, on Mareh 1, 1940. Paratypes. E. H. TaylorH. M. Smith No. 5475, same loeality, collected by E. H. Taylor and
H. M. Smith, August. 1932. Texas Coöperative Wildlife Collection No. 822, Lago Salado, about five kilometers west of El Limón Totaleo, in the state of Puebla, $8,300 \mathrm{ft}$., July 25,1942 , collected by S. H. Wheeler.

Diagnosis. A member of the triseriatus group with no subloreals; postseminasal* in contact with first and second supralabials; loreal generally (?) in contact with supralabials. Pattern of 41 to 44 small, oral spots in a middorsal series; belly nearly immaculate. Differs from transererus in having oval blotches instead of narrow crossbands and generally (?) in having the loreal in contact with the supralabials and the lower preocular divided. Differs from gloydi in having a larger posteminasal in contact with two instead of just one supralabial, and generally (?) in having the lower preocular in contact with the loreal.

Description of holotype. Adult male. Rostral moderate, portion risible from above about half as long as broad; two large internasals, narrower laterally than medially, length about two-thirds width; a pair of large, oval canthals, somewhat longer than broad, separated from each other medially by a single, elongate scale nearly as large as an internasal; suproocular flat, twice as large as a canthal, slightly longer than distance from end of snout; four seales in a transverse row between supraoculars behind canthals, two (somewhat larger) in the next row, and three in a third row; scales on head posterior to supraoculars nearly uniform in size.

Naris pierced at about the middle at the lower border of nasal; latter completely divided, upper portion of anterior section projecting far posterior to the level of the lower portion; postseminasal less than half size of preseminasal, broadly in contact with first supralabial, narrowly with second, and narrowly with internasal; loreal large, rounded, in contact with second supralabial, eanthal, postseminasal, upper and lower preocular, and the anterior pit scale; upper preocular single, lower divided into anterior and posterior halves; scale bordering lower edge of pit wedged between preocular and lacrimal, narrowly separated from orbit; a single row of two seales between orbit and supralabials; two postoculars (on one side the lower postocular and posterior subocular are fused) ; length of orbit, 3 mm ., half its distance from tip of snout. (Fig. 1.)

Supralabials 9-9, posterior border of orbit above the middle of the fifth; infralabials 9-9, the first of each side in contact medially; one pair of small chinshields.

[^0]Dorsal seales moderately strongly keeled, except those in the outer two rows; seale rows $21-21-15$; ventrals 161 ; caudals 25 , the posterior 3 paired. Total length, 480 mm .; tail length, 40 mm .; basal rattle, $5.5 \times 3 \mathrm{~mm}$.

Dorsal surface pale brown, with 40 dark brown, black-edged median blotehes on body, 7 on tail. The blotehes are separated from each other by about $1^{112}$ 2 seale lengths; they are nearly twice as broad as long, and cover about $2^{1} 22$ scale length longitudinally and about 6 or 7 transersely. A dorsolateral row of very indistinet, rounded spots alternates with the middorsal series, occupying the sixth and seventh scale rows. A lateral row of somewhat more distinct spots, alternating with those of the dorsolateral row (coineiding with those of the median row) occupies the $3 \mathrm{~d}, 4$ th, and 5 th rows. A sublateral row of dim spots, alternating with the preceding, occupies the 1 st and 2 d rows.

The only distinct headmarking is a dark postocular stripe disappearing a short distance back of the angle of the mouth and involving the upper portion of the supralabials; the edges of the band are well defined and straight, bordered with white below and with gray above. The top of the head is generally gray brown between the postocular stripes, varied only by a pair of dim darker spots in the anterior parietal region just back of the supraoculars. The snout, including the anterior supralabials, is dark gray, but the color fades below the eye so that the posterior supralabials are white except for the upper edges. The entire ventral surface of the head is very dark, and darkest on the chin.

Belly nearly white, with seattered dark stippling concentrated laterally. Subcaudal surface moderately darkly stippled.

Tariation. In No. 5475, several of the small dorsal head scales are fused between and in front of the level of the orbits (Fig. 2). The lower preocular is divided as in the type, and the loreal bears much the same relation to other seales, except that it is in contact with the upper posterior border of the preseminasal, separating the postseminasal from contact with dorsal seales. The suboculars are in a single row as in the type, and the labials are the same. It is a young male, measuring 218 mm . snout to vent, the tail, 18 mm .; ventrals, 161 ; caudals, $2+$. Blotches as in type, 44 on body, 6 on tail.

No. 822 seems somewhat aberrant in certain respects. Two juxtaposed seates intervene medially between the canthals. The lower preocular is single, tapering anteriorly, and on one side is narrowly in contact with the loreal inside the pit (Fig 3): on the other side the scales are narrowly separated (Fig. 4).


Fig. 1. Lateral view of orlitonasal region of head of C. g. lautus. From USNM 110598, type.

Fig. 2. As in Fig. 1, from EHT-HMS 5475, paratype.
Fig. 3. As in Fig. 1, from TCWC' 822, paratype, right side.
Fig. 4. As in Fig. 3, left side.
The loreal is greatly reduced on one side, where the postseminasal is greatly enlarged; on that side the pit seale contacts the nasal below the loreal, and the postseminasal contacts the canthal and internasal and is nearly as large as the preseminasal. On the other side the loreal is only slightly reduced, narrowly contacting the labials, but the posteminasal remains in contact with both canthal and internasal. The suboculars and labials are as in the type except 8 infralabials occur on one side. The specimen is a young female measuring 25.5 mm . sout to vent, the tail, 20 mm .; ventrals, 1.53 ; caudals, 20.

## II

## Subgroup Comparisoxs

Gloyd's recent monograph on Crotalus (special Publ. Chicago Acarl. Sci., No. 4, 1940, pp. i-viii, 1-270, pls. 1-31, maps 1-22, figs. 1-10) recognizes 7 forms in the 2 species of the triseriatus group, 5 belonging to triseriatus, and 2 to lepidus. The forms now known are as follows:

Omilemanus Subgroup:
Crotalus omiltemamus (iünther.
Crotalus gloydi gloydi Taylor.
('rotalus gloydi loutus subsp. nor'.
('rotalus transversus Taylor.

## Pricei Subgroup:

Crotalus pricei miquihuames Gloyd.
Crotalus pricei pricei Van Denburgh.
Triserlatus scbgrotp:
Crotalus triseriatus anahuacus Ciloyd.
Crotalus triseriatus triseriatus ( Wa agler)
Lepides Subgroup:
Crotalus lepidus klauberi Cilov̧d.
Crotalus lepidus lepidus Kennicott.
semicornutus Subgrolp:
Crotalus semicormutus Taylor.
OMILTEMANLS SUBGROCP
The new subgroup mentioned previously is that here referred to as the omiltemamus subgroup. Its segregation as a group of forms distinct from the rest of the triseriatus group is of considerable importance. Heretofore its members (excent for transversus) have been treated as subspecies of triseriatus. Certainly that disposition is incorrect, but the arrangement of the forms within the subgroup -as races of a single species or involving several species-is not yet clear.

Primary characteristics. The forms are distinguished from triseriatus, whose geographic range they overlap, by a number of striking features. Of greatest importance are the relationships to each other of the seales on the sides of the head; until recently the inportance of certain of these scales has not been fully appreciaterl. In all mombere" of this section the subloreals are completely larking (Figs. 1-4, 18); they are present 11 to 3) in all other species of the genus (Figs. 15-17). The scates reforred to as subloreals are small ones interposed between the loreal and labials, and between the nasal and the pit scales. Since the term loreal has been restricted by Klauber (Trans. San Diego Soc. Nat. Hist., vol. 8, 1936, f. $2 \cdot 2 \cdot$ ) to apply in rattlesnakes to "the scales [one or more] on the side of the head between the postnasal and the preocular . . .." and is generally wed in this sense by other specialists, the scales described above camot be considered as lower loreals; upper and lower loreak do occur in rattlesnakes, but both are between the "postnasal" and the preocular. Thus in the absence of any other tem in general use I suggest "subloreal" as one sufficiently appropriate.

[^1]

Fig. 5. Ventral view of right palatine and pterygoid of C.g.lautus. From USNM 110598, type.

Fig. 6. As in Fig. 5, lateral view of right palatine.
Fig. 7. As in Fig. 5, dorsal view of right pterygoid.
Fig. 8. As in Fig. 5, medial view of right lower mandible.
Fig. 9. As in Fig. 5, lateral view of right lower mandible.

Fig. 10. Ventral view of right palatine and pterygoid of C. t. triserintus. From EHT-HMS 21502, from Tacícuaro, Michoacán (var. pallidus).<br>Fig. 11. As in Fig. 10, lateral view of right palatine.<br>Fig. 12. As in Fig. 10, dorsal view of right pterygoid.<br>Fig. 13. As in Fig 10, medial view of right lower mandible<br>Fig. 14. As in Fig. 10, lateral view of right lower mandible.

There is an indication that at least one skeletal character may be correlated with the omiltemanus section, but since I have been able to check it only in lautus, no generalizations are advisable. One pterygoid bone examined of lautus bears 5 teeth (Fig. 5) ; on the other hand each of the 2 pterygoids of pricei and 6 of $t$. triseriatus bears 6 or 7 teeth (Fig. 10). Since the head is notably shorter in speries of the omiltemanus subgroup than in other species (exeept perhaps pricei) the lesser tooth number is not surprising. Other differences, some very striking, occur in shapes and contours of the palatines, pterygoids and dentaries of the 3 forms examined, but their significance is not clear. The palatine, for instance, is very short in lautus, more elongate in triseriatus. In lautus the posterior end of the pterygoid lacks the peculiar, ridgelike process on the dorsal surface that is present in triseriatus: also the concavity on the dorsal surface is more central in position. In latut the palafine articulates on the medial side of the anterior tip of the pterygoid, while in triseriatus the suture between the two appears (in rentral riew) to be transverse. One of the characters believed to be most significant is the direction taken by the anterior border of the splenial rentral to the anterior meckelian foramen; in triseriatus it passes a considerable distance posteriorly, while in lautus it pasees almost straight ventrally from the posterior border of the foramen. The flared dorsal border occupying the posterior third of the length of the dentary is more accentuated in triseriatus than in lautus. The depth of the angular notch in the dentary (as seen in lateral view), and the positions of the two lateral foramina, also differ. Other differences, which may be of considerable significance, can be discerned by making (omparisons of the accompanying figures (Figs. 5-14).

Secondary characteristics. But little less signifieant than and almost if not quite as useful as the characteristies mentioned above are a mumber of others which find few exceptions. The supralabials are with rare exception 9 ; that number occurs elsewhere only in pricei, of the trisematus aroip. The sumratabial helow the posterior border of the orbit is the 5 th (Figs. 1-4, 18), while in all others of the group (Figs. 15-16), except pricei (Fig. 17), it is the 6th or 7 th.

Of great interest in the entire group is the conformation of the nasal. This scale is always split (perhaps rare exceptions) in rattlesnakes, and perhaps for this reason has generally been treated as two separate scales-postnasal and prenasal. These terms, however, are in general use in other groups of reptiles for scales following or preceding, respectively, the nasal, which may or may not be split. The concept of the nasal is a scale in which the nasal opening is pierced; a vertical suture may split the scale into anterior and posterior halves, but these are still parts of the nasal, and are not prenasals or postnasals in the sense of being scales preceding or following the nasal itself. It is the usual procedure to refer to thr parts of the divided nasal as the anterior or posterior section, but since this is clumsy and involves quite a few words the terms preseminasal and postseminasal are suggested.

In the omiltemanus subgroup, the postseminasal is always in contact with the first or first and second supralabials (Figs. 1-4, 18); this condition is found elsewhere in the group only in pricei (Fig. 17) and in rare $t$. triseriatus (Fig 15). and in none of even these is there contact with the second supralabial. It follows, and is true, that in the four forms of the omiltemanus subgroup (Figs. 1-4, 18);


Fig. 15. Lateral view of orbitonasal region of head of (C. t. triseriatus. From USNM 46465, Ameca, Jalisco.

Fig. 16. As in Fig. 15, C. l. klauberi. After Stejneger.
Fig. 17. As in Fig. 17, C. p. miquihuanus. After Ciloyd.
Fig. 18. As in Fig. 18, C. omiltemanus. After Gloyd.
the lower border of the preseminasal is not produced posteriorly, while in triseriatus and lepidus (all forms of each) it is markedly produced posteriorly (Figs. 15, 16).

The dorsal border of the postseminasal may be in contact with the eanthal and internasal, internasal only, or neither scale in the various forms of the omiltemanus subgroup (Figs. 1-4, 18) ; no one condition is necessarily constant for any one form. In pricei (Fig. 17), however, the dorsal border of the postseminasal is always (so far as known) in contact only with the internasal, while in triseriatus and lepidus (all forms of each) generally both eanthal and internasal are contacted. Therefore the loreal may be in contact with both canthal and internasal, or with the canthal only, depending upon the nature of the nasal.

The loreal may in the omiltemanus subgroup be in contact with the labials, or it may be separated (Figs. 1-4, 18) ; in the latter case the separation is always by means of contact of the nasal and pit scales, never by subloreals.

Klauber (loc. cit.) states that a loreal is always present in rattlesnakes. Taylor (Univ. Kan. Sei. Bull., vol. 30, 1944, pp. 47-48, fig. 1) however, says the loreal is absent in transversus, and accounts for the extra scale by assuming it to be an anterior section of a divided upper preocular. Other specimens that have become arailable since Taylor made his study, however, show intermediate conditions that indicate rather conclusively that the scale in transversus: is actually a loreal, not a part of a preocular.

The lower preocular is generally separated from the loreal, or split into two seales, in members of this section (not evident in figures). Only in transversus is the scale entire and in contact with the loreal. In all other sections of the group the seale contacts the loreal, and is very rarely divided (I have seen it divided in but one, a $t$. triseriatus).

A further characteristic is the reduced dorsal scale rows of the omiltemanus subgroup. All specimens have 21-17 (15) rows. In pricei the rows are usually 21 at the middle of the body, but in all others of the group 23 rows is the usual number.

Finally the body size is smaller, in general, in the omiltemanus subgroup; the head is likewise proportionately smaller, and the rattle smaller. In view of the characteristics cited there can be no question, I think, of the distinctness of the omiltemanus subgroup apart from the others of the triseriatus group. But as stated before the relationship to each other of the various forms in that section is not yet completely clear.

Status of forms. The form omiltemanus is one of the best differentiated of its subgroup, because of the high ventral count. No overlapping between its ventral counts ( 172 to 183) and those of other members of the subgroup ( 145 to 161) is apparent, and moreover only klauberi, of all other forms of the entire group, overlaps the comints of omiltemamus to any extent whatever (152-175). That character should then be given considerable weight, and because of it I regard omiltemanus as a species instead of a subspecies. The number of dorsal blotches ( 51 to 60 ) is also unique among members of the omiltemamus subgroup (others with 34 to 45) ; anahuacus and pricei (both forms), however, overlap this range completely. Moreover the character of the lower preocular is not absolutely unique (separated from loreal), as one specimen of lautus has been examined with a similar condition on one side, and the type of gloydi also has the scales separated.

Nevertheless the total characteristics of omiltemanus favor its fomsideration as a full sperics. Since there is some degree of continuity of ecological conditions (Fig. 20) between the areas occupied by omiltemanus and gloydi, however, the possibility of intergradation is not to be overlooked.

The remaining forms of the section comprise a compact unit of very uniform character. They are from distinct geographical areas: gloydi from Oaxaca, tianstersus from the Morelos-Mexico border, and lautus from the central Veracruz-Puebla border (see map, Fig. 20). The latter is known from 3 specimens, transversus from two, gloydi from one. The character of the loreal and postseminasal are generally to be considered of considerable importance in distinguishing the forms of the group, and in the present three some widely divergent types occur. In gloydi the postseminasal is greatly reduced and in contact with only the loreal, first labial and preseminasal, while in transtersus it is the loreal that is greatly reduced, resulting in contacts of the postseminasal with the canthal, internasal, loreal, pit scale, first and second labials, and preseminasal. Two specimens of lautus from the border area of Veracruz and Puebla near Perote are rather like gloydi in this character, except that the postseminasal is a little larger and contacts the second labial and, in one sperimen, the internasal. But a third specimen from the same area is exactly like transucrsus on one side of the head (Fig. 3), and approaches that condition on the other (Fig. 4). It cannot now be assumed that the latter specimen is of a different species than the other two specimens from the same area, and thus
one is forced to regard the nature of the loreal and postseminasal with suspicion in this subgroup until enough specimens are available to show the normal range of variation. The seales may be of great importance and of considerable constance in other members of the group, yet in this subgroup some variation must be anticipated.

The form gloydi is distinguished from lautus and transversus. then, on the basis of the great reduction of the nasal (questionable). the separation of lower preocular and loreal (not infallible, also known on one side of some specimens of lautus), and upon the pattern (very similar to that of lautus). The type (which I have examined) has about 42 blotches on the body, and these are more or less quadrangular or oval in outline, covering 2 to 3 scale lengths and occupying 5 to 7 scale rows; they are separated from each by about one seale length. There is nothing in these characters to encourage regarding gloydi as a spacies distinct from leutus.
C. transversus has a mottled belly, and very narrow erosbands or spots 34 to 45 in number, which are split or almost divided on the middorsal line. Known specimens also have the postseminasal in contact with the pit scales, and the preocular in contact with the loreal; but one lautus specimen shows the same rondition, in each category, on one side of the head. To this speries I believe should be referred Martín del Campo's specimen (Anal. Inst. Biol., vol. 11. 1940, pp. 472-473, fig.) from Cempoala, Morelos. The form is more distinct from the other two than the latter are from each other, but only in pattern; and that pattern, particularly as exemplified by Martín del Campo's specimen, is not so remotely different from that of lautus that intergradation is not easily conceivable. Yet for the present, the greater degree of difference of transversus from lautus and gloydi leads me to regard the former a distinct species.
C. g. lautus has oval blotches, longer than in transversus, not interrupted at the middorsal line, and the belly is marked only with fine, scattered dark stippling. The postseminasal is reduced but in contact with 2 labials, and may or may not be in contact with the pit scales and with the internasal alone or both the internasal and the canthal. The relationship with gloydi appears to be very close and that with transversus is scarcely less so.

## PRICEI SUBGROUP

The two forms of this species are associated together on the basis of morphological and patterns similarity, and geographic probability. Each has a single subloreal (Fig. 17), contrary to the omil-
temanus subgroup which has none (Figs. 1-4, 18) , and the other subgroups which normally have several. The supralabials are usually nine, and the fifth lies below the posterior border of the orbit, as in the omiltemanus subgroup, and thus the species is a rather shortheaded one. Of great significance is the fact that the preseminasal is not produced at its ventral border, but is in contact with only about the anterior half of the upper surface of the first supralabial (Fig. 17) ; in triseriatus, lepidus and semicormutus the border is so prominently produced posteriorly that it nearly or quite reaches the second supralabial, generally (Fig. 16) separating the postseminasal from the labials (not always, Fig. 15). Likewise in pricei the postseminasal is in contact above only with the internasal, while in triseriatus and lepidus the scale generally touches both canthal and internasil. The scale rows are generally twenty-one medially in pricei, twentythree in triseriatus and lepidus. These are the chief characteristies by which the two forms differ from others; and there can be no question that together they comprise a distinct species. The species finds its closest relatives at least so far as external characters are concerned, not in triseriatus but in the omiltemanus subgroup. $C$. transversus approaches it most closely in pattern. The characters of the pterygoid, palatine and lower jaw bones, however, approach or duplicate those of triseriatus.

## TRISERIATUS SUBGROUP

The preceding discussion has brought out differences between triseriatus, pricei, and the omiltemanus subgroups. The chief features mentioned that define triseriatus as a species are the numerous ( 10 or more) supralabials; 6 th, 7 th and 8 th labial below posterior border of orbit (Figs. 15, 16) ; several (rarely one) subloreals (Figs. 15,16 ) ; postseminasal generally in contact with canthal and internasal (Fig. 15) ; preseminasal produced posteriorly (Fig. 16) ; 23 or 25 seale rows at middle of body. In addition the dorsal blotches on the body are not less than 25 . The species is completely and well differentiated from others of its group.

The two forms of the species are rather clearly subspecies, as their characters overlap and they oceupy adjacent ranges. The chief differences between $t$. triseriatus and $t$. anahuacus are in number and size of dorsal blotehes, and in number of ventrals. The latter has more numerous oval blotehes (usially 40 or more, triseriatus with usually less than 40 quadrate blotches) and fewer ventrals. Specimens now referred to $t$. triseriatus, however, may represent still other forms not now elearly distinguishable.

The closest relatives of triseriatus are not in the previously considered forms, but in lepidus. There is a great similarity between the two species, and I believe it indicates relatively close relationship. The frequent occurrence of a divided upper preocular in triseriatus links it with lepidus, as does the curious form of the nasal, the several subloreals, the numerous supralabials, and the numerous scale rows. Klauber (Copeia, 1940, No. 3, pp. 206-207) refers to differences in hemipenial structure, but in external features, the two species are so alike that one specimen from Santa Teresa, Nararit (U. S. Nat. Mus. No. 46333) is the subject of some disagreement as to which species it represents. Gloyd (op. cit., p. 87) places it in $t$. triseriatus, while I would call it a l. klauberi. It has only 22 cross-bands on the body (including the occipital band), and although these are narrow (occupying only 5 to 8 scale rows) except near the tail, they are spaced and shaped as in lepidus, and are serrate-elged as in that species. Since the known minimum in triseriatus is 25 body blotches, while the range in lepidus is 14 to 23 , the specimen falls best in lepidus, whose pattern it matches in other respects. It resembles triseriatus, however, in the gray-brown ground color, the very dark belly and chin, and the absence of a division in the upper preocular. Since apparently all other lepidus invariably have the upper preocular divided, this exception is extraordinary. Unfortunately the specimen is a female, so no comparisons of hemipenes are possible. In view of the variability of the head scales, I prefer to follow the indication of the pattern in allocating the specimen to lepidus. It does not, however, agree completely with the form of lepidus (klauberi) known from the same general area, for it has a dark postocular stripe and a darkly mottled belly (no stripe, belly nearly or quite immaculate in klauberi). Altogether the specimen appears quite intermediate in character between triseriatus and klauberi; it may represent a distinct race or species, or, of course, a hybrid. Further specimens will be necessary to arrive at a definite conclusion. In any event the postulate of a close relationship between lepidus and triseriatus is given strong support by the specimen.

## SEMICORNUTU゙S SUBGROUP

This recently described form is almost as much of a puzzle as the preceding specimen from Nayarit. The single known example is unique in the development of the supraocular, but in most other respects is very similar to lepidus. The blotches resemble those of the other species of the triseriatus group rather strongly, as they
are about equally as long as broad. The species evidently demonstrates a pattern that may be close to the ancestral type of lepidus, for it is clear that the latter form must have been derived from something with blotehes not unlike those of triseriatus.

## III

## Zoögeography and Evolttionary Diectssion

The arrangement of pattern trpes in the triseriatus group suggests that semicormutus is the most primitive of all forms of the group in pattern. It is not, it would seem. primitive in scutellation, but is rather the most highly modified of the group. The situation requires explanation.

Migration waves. As has long been urged by many zoögeographers, and as rec̈mphasized by Schmidt (Amer. Nidl. Nat., vol. 30, 1943. 1p. $241-253$ ), in the course of erolution of animals upon the American continents a shefession of waves of more and more advanced forms radiated outward from a center of distribution in the north. Thus an aggregation of primitive forms at, for instance, the routhern edge of the Mexican platean, is not to be interpreted as indication that the elge of the plateau is a center of distribution; rather it indicates the extreme periphery of distribution of the sereral groups represented. The triseriatus group appears to fit this distributional law. The most primitive forms (omiltemames subgroup) are at the extreme periphery of the range of the group (Fig. 191 ) ; they together can be visualized as the present-day counterparts of the primary portion of the first wave (IA of Fig. 21) of migration from some northern center of dispersal. As a secondary portion (IB of Fig. 21) of the first wave, the pricei subgroup followed the omiltemamus subgroup. but because of close relationship, as a member of the same wave movement, never over-ran the primary portion. Some time elapsed before a second wave, carrying along as its primary portion (ILA of Fig. 21) the triseriatus subgroup, migrated couthward, eliminating most evidence of the first wave except in the Oaxaca and Guerrero regions, which may by that time have become inaccessible. The secondary portion of the second wave (IIB of Fig. 21) carried lepidus in its wake, and perhaps a tertiary portion (IIC of Fig. 21) carried semicornutus. Thus the picture of waves of migration might be represented as in figure 2.2 . The number of waves, their relative importance and their temporal distinctness are purcly a matter of conjecture and may well be in


Fig. 19. Distribution in Mexico of the five subgroups of the triseriatus group.


Fig. 20. Distribution of the members of the two primitive subgroups (pricei and omiltemamus) of the triseriutus group.
crror. The succession is, however, fairly well established and it is only this that can at present be considered of significance.

Orthoevolution in scutellation. In each successive wave and wavelet of this migration an increasing modification of head scales and general external character is clearly evident. The members of the first wave (IA) are the least modified beyond a typical snake condition, while the member of the last wave (IIC) is the most highly modified of all. This is a trend which may be described as orthoevolutionary, for the modifications of each successive wave have been along the same lines almost without exception. The term orthoevolution is not used here as an explanation of the phenomenon but simply as a brief way of referring to the character of evolution in these particular features-a single-line evolution rather than a haphazard one. To what the phenomenon is due-whether selective mutation (orthogenesis) or selective elimination (orthoselection ) -is not for speculation here. There is a suggestion, however, that regardless of the means, environment plays an important role in it.

The existence of an orthoevolutionary trend such as is erident in the scutellation of the triseriatus group camot be explained as something inherent in the germ plasm of the group. Were that the case the oldest forms, of wave IA, would be the most highly modified of all. Clearly the modification must be dependent upon the geographic center of origin of the group. Thus the longer the animals remain in that center, the greater their modification along the specified line; and the sooner they leave, the less the modification will be. This statement agrees perfeetly with the idea of waves of outward migration; members of wave IA, having left the center of origin earliest, were least changed, while that of the last wave (IIC) to leave that center was the most changed. Thus it is apparent that in this orthogenetic trend the most important factor is the existence of the animal in a certain geographical area in which the changes are being produced; outside of it the changes, at least in that direction, cease.

Pattern orthocvolution. There is a second orthoevolutionary trend, and that is in pattern. It is not so well defined as the trend in scutellation, but clearly exists. The members of wave I have relatively numerous and small blotehes, with extremes in omiltemanus, transversus and pricei. The members of wave IIA have relatively fewer, but anahuacus closely parallels some members of wave IA. The forms of wave IIB have still fewer, and that of IIC least of all. The trend exists, but the direction of the trend-
whether from a primitive pattern with few blotches or one with many-is not immediately obvious. If the procedure in this case is like that in regard to scutellation, then the least modification oecurs in the peripheral forms, the greatest in the most central.

But, I believe, the procedure has not been the same in pattern as in scutellation. If what is primitive in pattern were generally known, as is the primitive scutellation, there would be no doubt of


Fig. 21. Possible phylogeny of the forms of the triseriatus group.


Fig. 22. Diagram of migration waves in the triseriatus group.
the direction of the trend. Herpetologists have not generally agreed, however, upon the type of pattern that is primitive for snakes in general or for rattlesnake ancestors. In the absence of such knowedge we must try to determine what is primitive in the present case.

Three lines of evidence point toward the condition of few blotches as primitive.

First, there is little difference between some members of wave IIA and some of wave IA. T. anahuacus, for instance, is strikingly similar to lautus and gloydi. Yet waves I and II are strikingly different in scutellation. If the change was going on only in the center of origin (as in the ease of scutellation) there would have been difference between the various waves equally as great in pattern as in scutellation. That there is not suggests that pattern changes occurred after migration away from the center of origin, although at different rates in the different waves. In such case it is reasonable to assume that the changes may continue at a more or less constant rate outside of the center of origin, and that the accumulation of change will be greatest in the forms which have been longest away from that center. By this line of evidence a primitive pattern of few blotches is suggested.
A second line of eridence is the lack of constancy of pattern within the members of one wave. In the omiltemanus subgroup, for instance, there is a rather considerable range of variation from almost the maximum number of blotches known in the group to a median number of some 34 . Since these are all derived from one common wave-stork, it is clear that these changes in pattern occurred after migration to their present geographic zone. We know then that pattern evolution is not limited to the center of origin, and that it has progresed to a considerable degree outside of that area. Thus one is led to the same line of reasoning that was followed in discussion of the first point above.

Finally, those monographs which have dealt with the problem of pattern evolution in snakes have indicated that the trend, in blotehed colubrids at least (from which the vipers presumably were derived) the primitive pattern is one of few, small blotehes. The pigmented area tends to increase, either by increase in number or in size of the blotches. Increase in number may result only in a shattering and reduction in size of the spots (as in pricei), to preserve a certain constant of nonpigmented area (seemingly a very important factor in pattern evolution). On the other hand, it may result in a crowding and a sudden reduction in number of blotches by elimination of alternate marks. A second increase may follow, and then another sudden decrease in the same fashion, and so on. The blotehes may expand laterally into rings instead of, or as well as, increasing in number. Should increase in size of the blotehes be the direction of
pigment expansion, instead of increase in number, then a longitudinal fusion and shattering may result, suddenly producing a striped pattern, which then goes through its own line of evolution. That all colubrids have followed this line of blotch evolution does not necessarily follow; that of Coluber and Masticophis is not readily comparable. But at least there is a parallel phenomenon in some Colubrids and in the triseriatus group; and that the parallelism may be of profound nature is a tempting speculation.

Positive and negative orthoevolution. If it be granted that the primitive pattern, for the triseriatus group, is one with few, small blotches, then it may be seen that the orthoevolutionary trend in pattern is the reverse of that in scutellation, for the earliest wave has the greatest instead of the least change, and the latest wave has the least instead of the greatest change.


Fig. 23. Diagiammatic representation of the concept of positive and negative orthocrolution.

Thus we are concerned in pattern and scutellation with two phenomena of diametrically opposed nature-one which might be called "positive" orthoevolution (pattern), the other "negative" orthoevolution (scutellation) (Fig. 23). Negative orthoevolution may be defined as an evolutionary trend whose manifestation is dependent upon a certain limited environmental situation; it is of relatively localized occurrence. Positive orthoevolution is conceived as an erolutionary trend whose manifestation is independent of most (not necessarily all) environmental conditions; it is of relatively general occurrence.

Environmental effects. It is clear that pattern evolution continued outside the center of origin, while scutellation evolution did not. Pattern change did not occur at all times, both within and without the center of evolution, else all forms would be more or less alike. Given that the most primitive pattern oceurs in or near the center of origin, it follows that pattern evolution occurred only or for the most part, outside the center of origin.

We conclude then that under the conditions existing over many thousands of years in the center of origin of the triseriatus group, and only within that area, a constant series of mutations occurred and were preserved to produce a steady orthoevolutionary trend in scutcllation changes. In that area no pattern changes occurred. However, as the populations carrying these characters spread beyond the limits of this center of origin, the mutations for scutellation change ceased, and in their stead appeared another orthoevolutionary trend in mutations affecting pattern. Clearly some environmental factor operated in one place that did not in another, and its role was an important one in rattlesnake evolution. The means whereby its influence was effected is not evident.

It is interesting to conjecture that the mutation rate in the rattlesnakes discussed is more or less constant at all times, and that only the nature of the mutations is influenced by the environmental factors; and likewise that positive orthoevolution concerns survivally important characters, while negative orthoevolution involves characters of no selective value.

Matthew versus Adams. The main body of evidence derived from these rattlesnakes supports Matthew's main premise in Climate and Erolution of successive waves of migration from a northern center of dispersal. But it also shows that his second premise of peripheral occurrence of primitive forms is not wholly or always true. Certainly the peripheral forms are the earliest migrants; in
this respect they are the most primitive. But Matthew did not use the term "primitive" in just that sense. He meant that the peripheral forms not only were of the earliest waves of migration but also carried the most primitive characters. From the above discussion it is apparent that this is not always so. Whether the peripheral forms are the most primitive in all respects depends upon the nature of the orthoevolutionary trends. If they have been in the past of loeal character (negative orthoevolution) then there will be no or little further change as the wave migrates peripherally. But, if positive orthoevolution occurs in some character, then the forms near the center will be the most primitive in that character. Peripheral modification, with a central primitive stoek, is essentially the prime thesis of Adams' theory of group evolution. These two prineiples-of Adams and of Matthew-have been generally regarded as diametrically and unalterably opposed to each other. In reality they operate hand in hand, neither to the exclusion of the other, as two consistent phases of species evolution.

Primitive versus early. It is evident that the earliest migrants from the center of dispersal of the triseriatus group are not in all respects the most primitive. They are held in that light by most investigators because they actually are primitive in certain conspicuous characters that are usually held as important. Yet in other respects the omiltemanus subgroup is highly modified. The association of primitive with highly specialized characters in a single form or group is commonly known in many groups of amimals, yet in spite of this fact they are continually referred to as "primitive" species. In reality the modified characters may be more numerous than the primitive ones; it is only the subjective evaluation of them that ean lead one to assume that more characters, or more important ones, are primitive than modified. Obviously one should refer to primitive or modified characters, not primitive or modified species. The species is the carrier of the characters; it is neither primitive nor morlified, although it may be referred to as such if its characters are preponderantly or notably of one type or the other. Actually the species should be referred to as an early or late migrant or divergent; in that expression is conveyed the expectation of a certain proportion of primitive or modified characters.

## IV <br> SUMMARY

The triseriatus group of Crotalus consists of 11 forms, as known at present, belonging to 6 species and four subgroups. The most primitive subgroup includes omiltemamus, gloydi gloydi, g. lautus, and transversus, all forms at the southern periphery of the Mexican plateau. That section comprises the remnants of the first of a series of perhaps 5 successive waves of migration from a northern center of origin. The second ware, closely following the first and of next most primitive forms included what is now $p$. pricei and $p$. miquihanus. A third wave, at a considerably later date, included totriseriatus and $t$. anahuacus. A fourth wave, closely following the third, included $l$. lepidus and $l$. klauberi, while a fifth wave, following closely the preceding, included semicornutus.

The ancestral stock remaining in the center of origin during the periods of occurrence of migration waves mutated in such a fashion as to produce an orthoevolutionary trend of scale modification; these changes ceased in any part of the stock which migrated peripherally, so that later waves had evolved farther in this respect than the earlier waves. However, as the scale mutations ceased, pattern changes were initiated and perpetuated thereafter at a more or less (not completely constant) rate, so that in this respect earlier waves evolved to a greater degree than later waves.

The course of scale evolution is considered an example of negative orthoevolution, defined as an evolutionary trend whose manifestation is dependent upon a certain limited environmental situation; it is of relatively localized occurrence. The course of pattern evolution is considered as an example of positive orthoevolution, defined as an evolutionary trend whose manifestation is independent of most (not necesarily all) environmental conditions; it is of relatively general occurrence.

Either or both types of orthoevolution may occur in the development and distribution of any group of animals; probably generally both types occur. Predominance of negative orthoevolution in the history of any given group would result in a peripheral concentration of predominantly primitive forms (Matthew's principle) while predominance of positive orthoevolution would result in peripheral concentration of predominantly "higher" forms (Adams' principle). Thus these two apparently opposing principles are, then, to be con-
sidered not as mutually exclusive ideas, but as coöperatives of equal importance in the history of animal evolution, although it may be true that Matthew's principle of negative orthoevolution is predominant in frequency of occurrence in the history of many given sets of characters (i.e., species).

The primitive pattern of the triseriatus group is considered to consist of ferr, small, median dorsal blotches.

The term subloreal is introduced for the scales between the loreal and supralabials, and between the nasal and pit.

The two halves of the nasal are termed seminasals, the anterior half as the preseminasal, and the posterior half as the postseminasal.

## V

## Key to Forms of the Thienhatys Groups*

1. No subloreals; loreal in contact with labials or, if sepasated from labials, the interposed scales are the nasal and scales entering the pit; posterior section of nasal in contact with 1 or 2 labials; scale rows 21-21-17 (15); keels scarcely evident on posterior dorsal head scales; head very small.
One to three subloreals intervening between loreal and labials; posterior section of nasal not in contact with labials, or only with 1 st labial; scale rows usually 23 or more anteriorly or medially, seldom 15 posteriorly; keels usually distinctly more pronounced; head larger
2. Ventrals 172 to 183 ; dorsal spots small, 51 to 60 ; lower preocular widely separated from loreal .................................................................... miltemanus $^{\text {. }}$ Ventrals fewer; dorsal spots fewer; lower preocular (sometimes transversely divided) in contact with loreal or not.
3. Nasal in contact with only 1 st supralabial; postseminasal much reduced in size. $1 / 4$ or less the size of preseminasal; latter in contact with loreal above; lower preocular separated from loreal; latter in contact with 1st and 2nd supralabials. .g7oydi gloydi Nasal in contact with 1st and 2nd supralabials; postseminasal large or small: preseminasal in contact or not with loreal ahove postseminasal; lower preocular rarely in contact with loreal; latter in contact with one or no labials; spots oval or transverse bands

4. Dorsal pattern consisting of small, transversely oval, median blotches; loreal generally (?) in contact with supralabials; lower preocular generally (?) divided. gloydi lautus
Dorsal pattern of paired spots which may he expanded laterally into transverse bands, but which do not cross the median line; loreal separated from supralabials;

5. Median dorsal bands or blotehes less than 24 on body; upper preocular rarely not vertically divided; anterior section of nasal protuced posteriorly below naris to a point heyond a line even with the posterior edge of the naris................... ${ }^{6}$ Median dorsal bands or blotehes more than 24 on body; upper preocular usually not vertically divided; anterior section of nasal may be produced posteriorly below naris, but does not extend so far. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 8
6. Supraocular markedly elevated; dorsal spots 17 on borly, not band-like (except the extreme posterior), each 5 to 8 scale rows in width, most about as long as broad; catulals 20 in a male....................................................... . . . semicornutus Supraoculars romed; dorsal spots 14 to 23 , all generally band-like, much broader than long when visible; caudals in males over 20 ; supraocular not elevated....... .

[^2]7. A dark stripe from urbit to angle of mouth; a pair of separate oceipital blotehes: body pattem of transwersely expanded dark bloteles or crossbands, interspaces frequently with excondary hotches or bands but little darker than ground color.
lepidus lepidus
Dark stripe from orbit to angle of mouth obsolete or absent; oceipital bhotches united: body pattern of conspicuous dark brown or black erossbands, interspaces greenish gray or hhish gray with small dark flecks or indistinct gray boteles.
lepidus klauberi
8. Ventral edge of prominasal exteuting as far posteriorly as dorsal, or farther; postseminasal in contact with loth canthal and internasal; generally two or more sul)loreals; six or mote supralabials to below posterior edge of orbit; pattern of median blotches, hut general color sometimes very dark or very light ; upper preoeular sometimes split thanstersely; scale rows generally 23 merliatly.......................... 10 Ventral edgs of preseminasal not extending as far posteriorly as dorsal edge; postseminasal not in contact with loreal, only with internasal; generally one subloreal; fise supralabials to helow posterior edge of orbit; pattern of small, paired dorsal spots, sometimes fused medially; upfer preocular seldom divided transversels; seale rows 21 medially
9. Ventrals more than 150 ; general eoloration usially gray; dorsal spots usually separate, in pairs ............................................................................ Ventrals less than 150 ; general coloration predominantly brown; dorsal pairs of spots often eonnected medially ......................................................... miqei mihuanus
10. Body pattern of relatively large, quadrangular spots 25 to 44 in mumber, usually less than 40 ................................................................. tristriatus triseriatus Body pattern small, elliptieal spots (median) 39 to 57 in mumber.

## PLATE III

Plate 1. Crotalus gloydi loutus. A, paratype. EHT-HMS No. 5̌475. B-C type, U.S. N. M. No. 110598.

PLATE III



[^0]:    * The terms subloreal, presmanasal and postseminasal are defined on p. 82 ; see, also, figs. 1-4.

[^1]:    * In the type of transzersus, according to the drawing (Taylor, Univ. Kan. Sci. Bull., vol. 30. 1944 , fig. $10, \mathrm{p} .46$ ). there appears to be a subloreal on ne side. The soale is, however, a pit scale.

[^2]:    * Based partly on Gloyd (op. cit.).

